

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/965,932 09/28/2001		09/28/2001	Richard Foltak	M-11646 US	3289	
33031	7590	08/08/2006		EXAMINER		
		PHENSON ASCOL	NEURAUTER, GEORGE C			
4807 SPICE BLDG. 4, S		SPRINGS RD. I		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN,				2143		
				DATE MAILED: 08/08/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Supplemental Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/965,932	FOLTAK ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
George C. Neurauter, Jr.	2143

Part of Paper No. 20060803

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondenc	e address
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other of places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within time periods:	evidence, which a 37 CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final	rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY VITWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	WAS FILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the application been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The algunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the first forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejemay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ppropriate extension fee nal Office action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	mantha of the data of
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissa a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a) AMENDMENTS	al of the appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be ente	ered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simpli appeal; and/or	ifying the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amend	ment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed ame non-allowable claim(s).	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered an how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	d an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected:	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	will not be entered
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evide was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellashowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.3	ant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for a See Continuation Sheet.	illowance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	
NΔVADAVII FV	,
SUPERVISORY PAPERT FX	AMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER	– .

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues that Hundscheidt does not teach or suggest wherein the off-load server is configured to include the session identifier in a second request sent to the AAA module. The Examiner maintains the positions as previously submitted. In accordance with the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim as shown previously by the Examiner, the offload server may be any device that is capable of establishing a network connection using any known protocol. Hundscheidt also expressly discloses that the offload server or "MSC" may have either an access server associated with it as an internal or external server (see Figure 1). Hundscheidt also clearly shows and/or otherwise suggests that both the MSC with an integrated access server and a MSC associated with an access server communicate with the AAA module or "RADIUS server" (see Figure 4). As shown previously by the Examiner, the access server sends a request including a session identifier to the AAA module. Therefore, in view of the teachings and suggestions of Hundscheidt and the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim and, more specifically, the term "offload server", the MSC with an integrated access server or a standalone access server associated with the MSC may send a request including the session identifier to the AAA module and, therefore, Hundscheidt reasonably suggests that the MSC, with its integrated access server, may also send such a request to the AAA module as claimed.